UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,834	02/28/2007	Johann Manner	4838-001	6903
22429 7590 03/24/2010 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/560,834	MANNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Juska	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	bruary 2010.					
	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	<i>r</i> .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Page No(a) Mail Pate						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 17, 2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed with the RCE has been entered. Claim 1 has been amended as requested. Claims 5, 9, and 11 have been cancelled, while new claim 12 has been added. Thus, the pending claims are 1-4, 6-8, 10, and 12.
- 3. Said amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 112, 2nd and 101 rejections set forth in sections 3-7 of the last Office Action (Final Rejection mailed 08/19/2009). Additionally, said amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 102/103 rejection of the claims as set forth in sections 9 and 10 of the last Office Action.

Election/Restrictions

4. Newly submitted claim 12 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 12 is drawn to a final product (i.e., carpet), while the examined claims are method claims.

Art Unit: 1794

5. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 12 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 6,235,392 issued to Luo et al. as set forth in section 12 of the last Office Action.

Applicant has amended claim 1 so that the use of the fiber in the recited applications is an active method step. As such, said use is now given patentable weight and the claims stand rejected as set forth in the alternate obviousness rejection (section 12 of the last Office Action).

To reiterate, while Luo fails to teach the use of said fibers in the specifically recited applications, the reference does teach teaches the lyocell fibers are used to make yarns, woven fabrics, and/or nonwoven fabrics (abstract and col. 1, lines 9-12). The recited applications of carpets, textile flooring materials, wall linings, and/or decoration materials are known to make use of regenerated cellulose fibers. [Applicant was previously given Official Notice of this fact. Note since applicant failed to traverse the Official Notice given in the prior Office Action, the fact is now taken as admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03, C.] As such, it would have been readily obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the lyocell fibers of Luo in said applications.

Regarding the claimed ratio V, said ratio would have necessarily been present in the lyocell fibers taught by Luo. Note Luo discloses fibers having a denier of 3.1-19.5 (3.4-21.7

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

dtex) (col. 11, lines 13-22). Luo's range of fiber titer overlaps with applicant's claimed range by the range of 6-21.7 dtex, wherein fibers of this titer range will necessarily possess the claimed ratio V. Like materials cannot have mutually exclusive properties. Therefore, claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 stand rejected as being obvious over the cited prior art.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment of 02/17/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues the claims are patentable based upon the unexpected results described in the Declaration of Johann Manner filed on 02/17/2010 with the RCE (Remarks, page 5, 2nd paragraph). Specifically, applicant asserts the ratio V surprisingly and suddenly drops down to values of 2.2 or less at a titer of more than 6 dtex (Annex 1 of Declaration). Applicant concludes, "This is not expected and without this discovery being made, the claimed subject matter cannot be held to be 'inherent' in the manner advanced in this rejection" (Remarks, page 5, 3rd paragraph). This argument is unpersuasive since discovery or recognition of an inherent property is not invention. Luo teaches claimed lyocell fibers having a titer range which overlaps applicant's claimed range. Said fibers will inherently possess the claimed ratio V since like materials cannot have mutually exclusive properties. Applicant's Declaration has not shown the lyocell fibers disclosed by Luo having a titer of 6-21.7 dtex do not possess the claimed ratio V. Nor, has applicant shown that the present lyocell fibers having the claimed titer are structurally or chemically different than those disclosed by Luo. As such, applicant's Declaration is insufficient to overcome the prior art rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner can be emailed at cheryl.juska@uspto.gov

or the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached at 571-272-1515. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cheryl Juska/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

ci

March 25, 2010